1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROSARIO R. TINA and JESUS G. TINA,	CASE NO. 08CV1004 BTM (POR)
12	Plaintiffs, vs.	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR EX PARTE TEMPORARY
13	DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN	
14	ASSOCIATION, F.A. AND DSL SERVICE COMPANY,	
15	Defendants.	
16	On June 5, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a complaint and Emergency Motion for Ex Parte	
17	I emporary Restraining Order ("I RO motion"). Plaintiffs attached to this complaint and motion	
18	a certificate of service generally stating that a copy of the "Complaint has been furnished to	
19	Defendant." This certificate of service is not sufficient to establish that Defendant has been	
20	served with the complaint and summons as required in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule	
21	4. The Court therefore finds that Defendants have not yet received proper notice of	
22	Plaintin's TRO motion.	
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 65(b), the Court may issue a	
24	temporary restraining order without written or oral notice to the adverse party or its attorney	
25	only it:	
26	(A) specific facts in an affidavit or a verified complaint clearly show that immediate	
27	and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result to the movant before the adverse	
28	party can be heard in opposition; and	

© ase 3:08-cv-01004-BTM-POR Document 4 Filed 06/05/2008 Page 1 of 2

(B) the movant's attorney certifies in writing any efforts made to give notice and the reasons why it should not be required.

Because Plaintiffs have not made the above showing in their complaint or TRO motion, the Court concludes that Defendant must first be properly served and have an opportunity to respond before the Court can issue a ruling on the TRO motion.

Plaintiffs shall serve Defendants as required in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 4 with a copy of the complaint, summons, TRO motion and this order by June 10, 2008. Plaintiffs shall file proof of service by June 11, 2008. Defendants shall file a response to Plaintiff's TRO motion by June 16, 2008. Plaintiffs shall file any reply by 9:00 a.m. on June 18, 2008. The Court shall hear oral argument on this TRO motion on June 18, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. The above briefing schedule and hearing date will be vacated if Plaintiffs do not effect service as set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 5, 2008

Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz United States District Judge